

Be a Proper Observant Scientist



Before the name of science or scientist, there was a philosopher. These kind of people do a lot of thinking, observing, and examining to find the mystery discovery or find answer to their question. They are like a detectives, the investigators. Yet, the question is, what were they called before philosopher as well? What should all these guys from the past and in our present day who do all the similar duty

of thinking, observing, and examining? Why shouldn't they be called philosopher or scientist? Is there one true proper word for all of these title name? The answer is yes, all should be under one category called Observant. This is where and what I will address about this topic.

This document topic is not only about this word "observant" but also about scientist. I also want to address about two different about scientist, the good and the bad scientist. How can we know which scientist is the good one, someone who have the correct information or someone we can trust as well? Like how can we know which scientist companies we can trust. How we know if their product is good and trust-wort? For example, when a person passes the exam at the Registry of Motor Vehicles, to earn the trust and safety to operate the vehicle on the road. To be worthy enough to earn a driver license to drive. Yet, we must also exam and be sure whether this Registry of Motor Vehicles company (or any business company) are trustworthy also. Whether the company is reasonable and can be trust-wort. Just as we must verify the person whether he or she is a good driver. Whoever make the right judgment call, and do they perform the responsibly properly? Do they obey the law and train employee to ensure their preforming duty is properly as well? Whether the company or a person apply for a certificate or license.

There are some companies and/or scientist out there who claimed to have earned their trustworthy name or brand name on their certificate or license, turn out to be a fraud, mistake, or just a bad company. Just as for scientist as well. Because there are few people out there who apart and disagree with their judgement and fundamental beliefs. For example, there are scientists who believe earth and people were created almost six thousand years old age, while other scientists disagree. Because they believe earth and people come into existing a numbers million or billion years ago. The problem here is, both scientists have earned a trustworthy name on their certificate or license. Both did pass their exam and did earn the trust to be worthy to be whatever position of scientist they become. So, the question here, which scientists are telling us the truth? Which of these scientist was taught falsely wrong and train

before they took the exam? This is why not only we have a bad scientist, but also the bad company who discipline people wrongly.

In our modern-day world, I, Bro. Pouliot believe there are number of bad company and even a school who have congratulated their employees and/or students who complete-pass their exam or training to earn a reward certificate or license. To qualify enough to earn the trust, safety, and respect for their future job to work for the society of people. All these people who passed their training or exam have no idea they aren't good enough to earn the reward. Because the doctrine and/or training system in school or company was set up or build were wrongly to serve. Because many didn't know it was fast, was falsely carry on for many generations ago nor it was being done greedy on purpose to earn profit and power.

This is where and why I want to discuss this and focus on one matter. The name of science is one matter I want to discuss about. To understand why we have two different scientists' clamed about the earth's age. To know why we have a mix of conflict information. To know what the truth is and what is the proper way to perform scientific work. Before becoming a true observant scientist. Because I have seen enough bad or mad scientists out there. We need to stop and weed out of this madden bad scientist(s). In-order to discipline teach our child and student the correct proper perform way to do the work by the principal law.

Now let clear up what the word science should be know of, shall we? Many dictionary books define "Science" differently:

"Science is the intellectual and practical activity encompassing the systematic study of the structure and behavior of the physical and natural world through observation and experiment."

"Scientist is who conducts and gathers research to further knowledge in a particular area. Scientists may make hypotheses, test them through various means such as statistics and data and formulate conclusions based on the evidence. There are several types of scientists and nearly every industry requires the knowledge and research performed by these professionals."

"Science, any system of knowledge that is concerned with the physical world and its phenomena and that entails unbiased observations and systematic experimentation. In general, a science involves a pursuit of knowledge covering general truths or the operations of fundamental laws."

Notice how the definition point out three important words, observations, knowledge, and research. I have pull out these three important words because it is what scientist need to do in order to get the final answer to the question or understanding the truth. Now, if we believe in the Bible or Old Testament's doctrine (Tanakh) teachings, how can we apply "science"? Reason

I question this, why isn't the word "science" not found in the Bible or Old Testament's doctrine (Tanakh) books?


After exploring for the answer to that question, I have discovered how "science" was introduced in English. It originally came from the Latin word "**scientia**" which meant **knowledge**, a knowing, expertness, or experience. By the late 14th century, science meant, in English, collective knowledge. But it has consistently carried the meaning of being a socially embedded activity: people seeking, systematizing and sharing knowledge. So now we know where this word "science" came from. The word KNOWLEDGE is indeed can be found in the Bible or Old Testament's doctrine (Tanakh) books. Since the Bible come in with Hebrew and Greek language, דַּעַת (daath) H#1847 is the Hebrew word for knowledge and Greek is γνῶσις (gnósis) G#1108.

Now that we know where the word "science" in our modern-day world come from, somehow turn this word knowledge into a professional fancy word "science". Noticed earlier I have mentioned about three important words, observations, knowledge, and research. Which of these words seem to be more appropriate word when a person does the investigation on searching for answer? We might pick "Research" or "observations", I pick "observations", because observations come first before anyone do the research work. Now, let compare the word observation VS science, which of these word would likely used in the ancient day? Of course, it's obviously "observation".

Bible scholar and translator have in vain change many words from the original scripture books, had cause many confusion with the biblical or Tanakh teachings. Cause the change in many word into different meaning etc... This explains why we today end up have good and bad scientists. Because one scientist will be a true observant believer while the other isn't. This is why I have mentioned earlier about why one scientist believe people on earth is almost six thousand years old and the other scientist believe earth and people come into existing a numbers million or billion years ago. One of these scientists is a true observant believer who gather the knowledge and exam it correctly. This mean, in order to be a good scientist, we must be a true observant. In order to be a true observant, we must be a true believer of YAH's foundation law.

CREATIONISM VS. EVOLUTION

Since Charles Darwin came into existence, he had made thing worse for the education world. Caught more troubling the way people think about where we came from. Since he had published his theory in 1859, more and more scientists agree with him. The scientific theory he claimed Earth is about 4.5 billion years old, and all life evolved from primitive, single-celled organisms etc...



Now, very few scientists still believe in creationism against evolutionism. Because they agree with what the Old Testament (or Tanakh) books teaches. I have adhere two different link video(s) for you to review and observe it. Because once you gather all the information on your own after watching these two video, you will start get to see the clear truth about creationism against evolutionism ideal.

1. [The Creationism Vs. Evolution Debate: Ken Ham And Bill Nye](#)
2. [Don Patton Creation vs. Evolution video\(s\) link series!](#)
3. [100 Reasons Why Evolution is Stupid by Kent Hovind](#)
4. [Creation Seminar 1 - Kent Hovind - Age of the Earth \(FULL\)](#)

FYI, not every videos you have seen or planning on watching I agree on. Most of the information is true and reasonable for research homework.

After you have reviewed many of the videos and document information research, you might end up realized it is indeed important for us to obverse every foundation law of YAH, from creation to commandments. In order to understand life on earth and the way to live. Without it and reply on evidence on something as theory to assume, we will fall to the false, lied and even death.

Ever since America have separate the Bible beliefs from public school and government “separation church and states”, everything changes for the worse. In 1833, all states had disestablished religion from government, providing protections for religious liberty in state constitutions. In the 20th century, the U.S. Supreme Court applied the establishment clause to the states through the 14th Amendment. Now in the 21st century, many culture, different beliefs (religions), and change of society is turning against one another now. The whole reason why the corruption in America is happening, people no longer believe in YAHUAH, the Creator ONE who made the heaven and earth.

Many of them claimed they do believe in the Bible but why aren't they NOT obeying the foundation law of YAH? Most will reply to say “the Old Testament law was nail to the cross of Jesus Christ. We are no longer under these law etc... That, brother, and sister is kinda of true but mostly misunderstood doctrine. So, generally these claimed is not fully true. First, I must tell you... I no longer follow the New Testament (NT) doctrine anyway. However, if I still do follow the NT books, many did not study enough, careful enough, and have often misunderstand the whole point about the Covenant promise. The NT books do NOT agree with people claimed any way. Nowhere in the so-called Gospels books in NT mentions we're no longer follow the Sabbath law or all other commandments?

Generation after generation, all those children who were brought up by their parent who no longer follow and believe in the Old Testament law now do not follow the Bible well enough. More of these people no longer know the story in the Bible as well. This is why today, there isn't much left who is the true observant believer. Meaning, most of our Scientists today aren't the true observants. This is why I address this topic to get people to understand what really going on in our nation world. Many of us are blind to know the correct way and the correct doctrine etc...