


Understanding Why Jesus Christ is NOT Who You Think He Is

Those who truly believe “God” send “Jesus” (or YahuSha), the Christ (or Messiah) down to earth to become a man to salvation HIS children from the sin of eternal death. Believe Jesus Christ had been around ever since the beginning of creation was also before Abraham’s time. Whether you believe he is the “Son” of “God” (or the Hebrew word “Elohim”) was also the “Angels” of “God” who became a man. ...Or, you believe Jesus is the direct same Creator one being as “God”, “God” himself who came down as real man, to reveal HIS image of “God” are pretty dumb to believe all that.

I am NOT sorry to say DUMB because I was also dumb in the past, not noticing the error of all these kinds of beliefs people are saying about this Bible teaching. I was so blind not to notice how many conflict and error I have found between the Old & New Testament Bible, etc... How I have discovered why this Jesus Christ isn’t the man to whom ܕܢܝܢܐ, the Creator ONE have returned the promise one some day according to what the book of Malachi said in chapter 3 or 4. Why Jesus is NOT the Christ or was he an Angel, nor the Creator ONE? Here in this document article, I will explain the main reason why Jesus Christ is NOT who many of us think he is.

For every quote of the word I've quoted above, I am going to clarify the truth about each of those words correctly in our English understanding. Because our So-called modern-day Bible is all screw-up translated books. Since Babylon and the late-4th-century A.D. translators in vain are the ones who have screwed up the word and its meaning. Changing the Semitic of the original Aramaic language into the Hebrew and Greek languages was forbidden to do that. However, Aramaic still may not be the # 1 original language that Adam and Abraham (Avraham) have spoken. The original language didn’t have a name for it, because it was the only language at a time. Before the multi-language era, foreign tribes once did recognize Abraham’s and Yisraelite's tribe language. The foreign tribe would likely describe their language as Abraham’s and/or Yisraelite's language. Both, Abrahamic and Yisraelite people were also called the “wanderer” tribe. FYI, the word "Hebrew" was closely associated with the word "wanderer", many people do not realize that “Hebrew” isn’t the language name. That is why the scripture book of the Old and New Testament Bible is NOT 100% correct translation word from the original manuscript scroll. So again, the original manuscript scroll was a direct Hebrew language.

The ancient language which was similar to Hebrew was the Aramaic language. Aramaic and Hebrew are both ancient Semitic languages along with the Arabic, Amharic, Akkadian, and Phoenician languages. So, keep in mind, that the Hebrew language is not the original written manuscript book. This is why both, the Hebrew and Greek Bible are not 100% translated books from the original manuscript scroll.



This is also why many other books like Talmid, the ancient Hebrew scholar, and the book of Strong's Exhaustive Concordance were from Dr. James Strong, who was an American academic, biblical scholar, lexicographer, Methodist theologian, and professor, and there is the Septuagint, also known as LXX, the earliest extant Greek translation of the Hebrew Bible from the Hebrew scripture books. By the third century B.C.E. Jews of Alexandria weren't supposed to be used for research purposes. Because all of these three added books are NOT the original Semitic languages that could give us all or 100% correct English words or definitions. Since it should have been written in the original language. However, the Hebrew language is at least similar language of the ancient Semitic of the Aramaic language. I, Bro. Pouliot personally rather deal with this book of Hebrew-Strong than the Septuagint (LXX) Greek language for research purposes. Because the Septuagint (LXX) Greek language is often incorrectly translated and is far apart from the original Semitic languages.

Let me begin now by explaining why Jesus Christ is NOT who he is according to the New Testament doctrine. Let's first look at the quote word "God" ("Elohim"), because that word GOD (and Elohim) in our English understanding is one of the biggest translated messes. The biggest reason for this mess was because of how the translator replace the original pictograph Semitic word for "Supreme Father" was this (אֱלֹהִים) or the Creator's name "YAHUAH" (יהוה) or (יהו) into "God" ("Elohim"). They cover up the original pictograph Semitic words "Supreme Father" and "יהוה". Even though they may have added the definition of those words in the dictionary as "Almighty Father" and/or "Yahweh/Yahuah" modern Hebrew writing still isn't clearly defined correctly to understand.

Because the ancient Hebrew-Aramaic language shares some common roots word for "FATHER" is this (אבא), while the Greek translation is like this (ΑΒΒΑ), which ends up later changing into English as "ABBA". Notice this Aramaic word "אבא" is the same pictograph Semitic word for (אֱלֹהִים). Just in case you don't know about this, all Hebrew-Aramaic languages were written backward from right to left. Notice one pictograph that looks like an image of an ox "א", this is an ancient symbol meaning alpha. Alpha also means "Chief" and/or "Father". It is also written like this "א" or "א". The same for "Elohim" (modern-Hebrew: אֱלֹהִים, the plural of אֱלֹה ('Ēlōah). Yet, just alone the two words El or (Al) - (אֱלֹהִים) also means alpha as chief as well. This is why I, Bro. Pouliot believes the original Semitic understanding word in our English understanding is supposed to be "Supreme Father" instead of using "God". Almighty Father or Heavenly Father can also be used for that meaning, but "Supreme Father" is the most proper message from the pictograph Semitic writing.

We also need to understand why those words are concerning a confusing words. Because it is not as simple plain word as the Almighty ONE or Master do. For example, when we read Exodus 7:1 "*I have made you as a god to Pharaoh*", this would cause us wonder "*Are we gods of God*"? Like are we god like God? Are we equal like HIM as יהוה? Question like that can be a little difficult to answer. That depends on a person.

Now, the next step is to understand why "Jesus" Christ isn't the "Son of the Supreme Father". The name "Jesus" was actually stolen from the name of Joshua, son of Nun in the Old Testament. This English name Joshua in Hebrew-Aramaic language is "YahuSha". Notice the word "YAH" and "uSha" in YahuSha, "YAH" in Hebrew-Aramaic writing is "יה" or "יהו" which mean "I AM" - Strong's H3050, the Supreme Father's personal short signal name. And for "uSha" in Hebrew-Aramaic writing is "שׁוּשׁוֹ" or "ישׁוּעַ" (yâsha') - Strong's H3467, which means to be open, wide or free, that is, (by implication) to be safe; causatively to free or succor: to avenge, defend, deliver, help, preserve, rescue, to be safe, to bring or to have salvation, to save, or to be a Savior, or to get victory.

So, we now know that the name Jesus is the same name for YahuSha in the Hebrew-Aramaic language and Joshua is also the same name for YahuSha in the Hebrew-Aramaic language. Because the name "Joshua" in Aramaic is "Yeshua" and in Hebrew is "Yehoshua" or "Yehoshu'a". And for the name of "Jesus" in English comes from the Old Latin as "IESU," which is a transliteration of the Greek word "Iēsoûs (Ἰησοῦς)," Therefore, both names mean "I AM - SAVES" or "Salvation of I AM" (יה) - YAH.

You might ask "*Who stole the name of YahuSha, the son of Nun*"? Based on history, it appears that the Society of Jesus, also known as the Jesuit Order or the Jesuits, is a religious order of clerics regular of pontifical rights for men in the Catholic Church headquartered in Rome. It was founded

way back in 1540 by Ignatius of Loyola and six companions, with the approval of Pope Paul III. They are the same people who somehow throughout time created these New Testament doctrine books as well.

The other major problem people have been addressing or preaching is about the spiritual messengers (Angels) who are also called “sons” according to Genesis 6:2, 4; Job 1:6; 2:1; and 38:7. This is where we must be extra careful about the translated error in our modern-day Bible. Because one of those passage verses isn’t talking about the spiritual messengers (Angels). Read Job 1:6, to see why people say this “sons” of God are the spiritual messengers (Angels).

“Now there was a day when the sons of God came to present themselves before the LORD, and Satan also came among them”. - Job 1:6 (NASB)

“Again there was a day when the sons of God came to present themselves before the LORD, and Satan also came among them to present himself before the LORD”. - Job 2:1 (NASB)

Notice how the “sons of God” presented themselves before the Creator ONE? While Satan joined along with them? Aren’t they human or are they the spiritual messengers (Angels)? The reason of these questions will help us understand why a man couldn’t present themselves before $\aleph\aleph\aleph$ in heaven. Both of those passage verses are actually talking about the spiritual messengers (Angels) - not a mankind. But the real problem with these passages verses is how they’re being called the “sons”. It was translated mistaken or on purpose.

Only mankind are to be called son, not the spiritual messengers (Angels). We as mankind are created image like $\aleph\aleph\aleph$, not the spiritual messengers (Angels). Same trouble with this word “God” in a confusing way. Because it supposed to translated as “Supreme Father”. And because of how Isaiah 45:5-12 point out why there is only one Supreme Father (God).

There is one helpful interest in Septuagint, a Greek version of the Hebrew Bible for Job 1:6, because this one does not say “gods of God” but the angels:

“And it came to pass on a day, that behold, the angels of God came to stand before the Lord, and the devil came with them.”

Completely different right? That verse is more of correct way to translate and it make a lot scene now. Because again, the spiritual “messenger” (Angel) are not mankind to be called “son”. There is a perfectly good word in Hebrew for angels or angel "Malak".

So there is no need for the Masoretic text to be elusive or cryptic in its description of angels by calling them “sons of God”. The same for Job 38:7:

“When the stars were made, all my angels praised me with a loud voice.”

We can see why the old Greek LXX text did have some correct info. Knowing that the ancient text was not talking about the “sons” as mankind but the spiritual messengers (Angels). Compare with those translated with other translated that used “gods of God”.

Most of all, notice how DIFFERENCE is Job 38:7 with many other Bible versions “the morning stars were singing together” instead of how LXX said “When the stars were made”. This make a perfect logic scene. Because in the book of Job, was explaining about the creation that was made before exist of mankind on earth.

So, we can see now why Job 1:6, Job 2:1, and Job 38:7 all defined as the spiritual messengers (Angels). Knowing that the the spiritual messengers (Angels) are not the “sons of $\aleph\aleph\aleph$, the Supreme Father”. Here is another part we can also realize, since the holy spiritual messengers (Angels) in heaven continually always in presence of the Supreme Father. “If” we are talking about during Job’s day did the evil spiritual messengers (fallen Angels) present themselves before $\aleph\aleph\aleph$? Pretty sure the answer is NOPE!

The next part we also need to understand another troubling is in Exodus 7:1.

*“So Adonai said to Moses, See, **I have set you as God to Pharaoh**, and Aaron your brother will be your prophet.” - TLC*

Which different from what the Complete Jewish Bible said:

*“But Adonai said to Moshe, **I have put you in the place of God to Pharaoh**, and Aharon your brother will be your prophet”*

The troubling with these two verses example, is how some people view the word “**god or God**” as we are the **gods of God**. Thinking like we are equal as God. In that case, we must again be careful how we think what our English mind set said instead of what the ancient mind set explains this verse talking about in a correct translated.

Now we need to look into the “**Father's position**”. You might already know that אֱלֹהִים, our Supreme Father is the head of all. HE is the ruler (KING) of the universe. The reason why HE is our Father is because we were made out of HIM. By HIS creation handiwork. Just as why the earthly male-alpha is also the father, but is head only to the household family. So, how can this Jesus as man be the head leader like the father, while this Jesus never had been a father in his lifetime on earth? He had NO children of his own and never had a wife. Yet, the New Testament Bible printout in 1st Corinthians 8:6 (ESV) states that he is our father.

“yet for us there is one God, the Father, from whom are all things and for whom we exist, and one Lord, Jesus Christ, through whom are all things and through whom we exist.”

And even in the book of Philippians chapter 2, verse 11 said:

“and every tongue confess that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father.”

This is a serious problem of how the New Testament tries to convince people to believe that. Because of how “JESUS” stands out as a leader since the ancient time before mankind had ever existed on earth. Some people also apply this along with Revelation 22:13 that he is the “Alpha and Omega, the beginning and the end, the first and the last” which conflicts with Isaiah 44:6 who אֱלֹהִים, the Supreme Father is the ONLY Alpha and Omega, the first and the last. Knowing that there is no one else like him before or after HIM who has done all the marvelous work.

Most of all, notice how it says “God the Father”, this is the ironic wrong statement that most people wouldn't be able to see the error to this. If we fix by replacing the wrong translated word “God” with the proper English understanding word we already read about above, “Supreme Father” it would say “to the glory of Supreme Father of Father”, do we now see this as a problem here?

There are more evidence of reasons why Jesus Christ isn't who we think he is by clicking this link to open PDF Slide: https://www.attest.tv/files/ugd/a9b19d_19d133e7116144c9add7403532dd0b7c.pdf